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MOTIVATION

- Parliamentary debates as an important source of sociologically relevant content
- **Main aim:** to identify the potential of better integration of corpus-based approaches and parliamentary corpora into sociological research.
- **Structure:**
  - **Part 1:** Overview and analysis of the most commonly used methods in sociology
  - **Part 2:** Overview and analysis of the most prominent sociological topics in parliamentary debates, approaches to data collection and analysis
  - **Discussion:** prerequisites and affordances for sociological research to benefit from ParlaMint corpora and vice versa
METHODS

- Reviewed qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods approaches in sociology
- Various search engines for collection of papers
- Applied filters:
  - Publication period: 2012 – 2022
  - Discipline: Sociology and Social Science
  - Article ranking: “most relevant” and/or “most cited”
- Sociological journals: Discourse and Society, European Journal of Cultural and Political Sociology, Gender and Society, etc.
- Relevant papers further researched
TOPICS AND METHODS

- Total number of papers: 37 (available here: https://bit.ly/3mg0Lpd)
- Number of reviewed papers: 16
- Number of topics: 6
  - Immigration and minorities (4 papers),
  - Health and social care (3 papers),
  - Victimization and criminalization (3 papers),
  - Gender and discrimination (3 papers),
  - Ideology, national identity, and political affiliation (2 papers), and
  - Populism and addressing the public (1 paper).

- Methods employed:
  - Discourse Studies (Discourse Analysis, Critical Discourse Analysis, Discourse Historical Approach) – 60%
  - Content Analysis
  - Mixed-methods approach (keyword + content analysis, corpus-based + survey-based approach)
FINDINGS

- Sociologists identified as an important user group of ParlaMint database, but they are not accustomed to using corpora data in their research.
  - Manual, time-consuming data collection methods
  - Data collected *hic et nunc*, interested in real-time, current and recent events, data would need to be as updated as possible

EXAMPLES:


FINDINGS

- Intertwining corpus-assisted methods with qualitative sociological analysis can produce results which would otherwise go unnoticed.
  
  EXAMPLE:
  

- Sociologists have highly specific needs for parts of parliamentary discourse relevant for their study, for example PMQs. Explicitly marked sections and semantic annotations indicating subject of discussion would be useful.
  
  EXAMPLES:
  

Instead of using corpora, sociologists collect, code, and analyze data on their own. They should be shown the amount of data that has already been collected and shown how to effectively use it.

EXAMPLES:


Ensuring smooth export and import options from the concordancer to add additional annotation and combine parliamentary data with other data sources.

EXAMPLE:

To encourage the use of corpora and train sociologists to use them, rich and user friendly workshops, tutorials and user manuals should be developed and documentation on how the data is collected and annotated should be clear and transparent.

- User manuals and tutorials demonstrate the use of ParlaMint corpora and features of the concordancers

- Use of corpora would encourage sociologists to conduct more international research
  - ParlaMint offers corpora for 17 European countries and more are being developed

- Machine translations of the documents and transcripts would enable cross-lingual research of parliamentary discourse.
“Thank you for your attention!”